-	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Notice of Allowability	09/763,981	ELSOME ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kelly Mahafkey	1761		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to and MPEP 1308.	olication. If not includ will be mailed in due withdrawal from issu	ed course. <b>THIS</b>	
This communication is responsive to the Interview held April	ni 26, 2006 and the reponse of May	<u>11, 2006</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-3,6,7,9-18 and 20-42</u> .				
<ol> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unally All b) Some* c) None of the:         <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Tertified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>More Thank (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li> <li>Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.</li> <li>Applicant has THREE MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.</li> <li>Applicant has THREE MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.</li> <li>Applicant has THREE MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	been received.  been received in Application No cuments have been received in this i	national stage applica		
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			NOTICE OF	
<ol> <li>CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers         <ol> <li>to Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the state of the property of</li></ol></li></ol>	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- s Amendment / Comment or in the O .84(c)) should be written on the drawir	Office action of	e back) of	
DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT			Note the	
Attachment(s)				
Notice of Paftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. Interview Summary	<ul> <li>5. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)</li> <li>6. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date</li> </ul>	O-152)	
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	8), 7. 🛭 Examiner's Amendo	7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendment/Comment		
Paper No./Mail Date  4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material		8. ☑ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance 9. ☐ Other		
	o. 🗀 oaioi			

Application/Control Number: 09/763,981

Art Unit: 1761

## REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Amendments made May 11, 2006 have been entered.

Claims 1-3, 6,7, 9-18, 20-42 are pending.

## Examiner's Amendment

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Chris Lewis on July 20, 2006.

Please amend claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 17, 20-22, 29-33.

Claim 1. A sensor for detecting a gaseous substance resulting from: (1) food spoilage within food packaging; (2) opening of the food packaging; or (3) compromise of the food packaging, the sensor comprising:

a film comprising a sensor composition, disposed on an internal surface of the food packaging or disposed on a label retained inside the food packaging, wherein said sensor composition comprises

a resinous material and

a metal co-ordinated complex, where in the metal is selected from the group consisting of palladium, platinum, ruthenium, and iron, and wherein the complex, upon exposure to the gaseous substance resulting from (1) food spoilage; (2) the opening of the food packaging; or (3) the compromise of the food packaging, undergoes a ligand exchange reaction by the binding of the gaseous substance to the metal(s) atom of the complex to release a [detectable] component from the metal co-ordinated complex, creating a detectable change to the sensor.

Page 3

Claim 6. A sensor according to claim 1, wherein the metal <u>co-ordinated</u> complex is a palladium-fluorophore complex.

Claim 7. A sensor according to claim 6, wherein the <u>metal co-ordinated</u> complex is palladium-Fluorexon.

Claim 9. A method of detecting a gaseous substance resulting from (1) food spoilage within a food packaging; (2) opening of the food packaging; or (3) compromise of the food packaging, comprising the step of:

applying a film comprising a sensor composition, to an internal surface of the food packaging or

inserting a label coated with the film comprising the sensor composition to be retained within the packaging,

Application/Control Number: 09/763,981 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

wherein the sensor composition comprises a resinous material and a metal coordinated complex, where in the metal is selected from the group consisting of
palladium, platinum, ruthenium, and iron, and which complex, upon exposure to the
gaseous substance resulting from (1) food spoilage within the food packaging; (2) the
opening of the food packaging; or (3) the compromise of the food packaging, undergoes
a ligand exchange reaction by the binding of the gaseous substance to the metal(s)
atom of the complex to release a [detectable] component from the metal co-ordinated
complex, creating a detectable change to the sensor.

Claim 10. A method according to claim 9, wherein the [detectable] component released is a fluorophore or a chromophore released from the metal complex through the ligand exchange reaction with the gaseous substance.

Claim 13. A sensor for detecting a gaseous substance resulting from food spoilage within a food packaging, comprising

a metal co-ordinated complex disposed in or on a substrate, which complex, upon exposure to the gaseous substance resulting from food spoilage, undergoes a ligand exchange reaction by the binding of the gaseous substance to the metal of the complex to release a [detectable] component from the metal co-ordinated complex, creating a detectable change to the sensor, wherein the metal complex is a palladium-fluorophore complex, and

Application/Control Number: 09/763,981

Art Unit: 1761

a barrier layer disposed between the metal complex and food disposed in the food packaging, wherein the barrier layer is permeable to the gaseous substance resulting from food spoilage but is not permeable to the metal or the released component.

Claim 15. A sensor according to claim 13, wherein the metal <u>co-ordinated</u> complex is immobilized in a film.

Claim 17. A sensor according to claim 13, wherein the metal <u>co-ordinated</u> complex is palladium-Fluorexon.

Claim 20. A sensor according to claim 1, wherein upon the <u>release of the</u>
[detectable] component, the <u>sensor</u> exhibits [appreciable] <u>a color change, and the color</u>
change is only recognized when the sensor is [only when] excited by non-visible light.

Claim 21. A sensor according to claim 1, wherein upon the release of the [detectable] component, the sensor exhibits [appreciable] a color change, and the color change is recognized under visible light.

Claim 22. A sensor according to claim 1, wherein the sensor [composition] comprises a plurality of sensors [elements] that individually indicate an increasing level of contamination up to a danger level.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,981 Page 6

Art Unit: 1761

Claim 29. A method for detecting a gaseous substance resulting from food spoilage within a food packaging, comprising the step of:

applying to the interior of the food packaging a sensor comprising a metal coordinated complex, which complex, upon food spoilage, undergoes a ligand exchange
reaction by the binding of the gaseous substance to the metal of the complex to release
a [detectable-] component from the metal co-ordinated complex, creating a detectable
change to the sensor, wherein the metal co-ordinated complex is a palladiumfluorophore complex.

Claim 30. A method according to claim 29, wherein the step of applying the sensor to the interior of the food packaging comprising applying the sensor to an internal surface of the food packaging and the method further comprises the step of providing a barrier layer disposed between the metal <u>co-ordinated</u> complex and the food, wherein the barrier layer is permeable to the gaseous substance resulting from food spoilage but not to the metal or the [detectable] released component.

Claim 31. A method according to claim 29, wherein <u>upon the release of the</u>
[detectable] component, the <u>sensor</u> exhibits [appreciable] a color change, and the color <u>change is recognized</u> under visible light.

Claim 32. A method according to claim 29, wherein upon the release of the [detectable] component, the sensor exhibits [appreciable] a color change, and the color change is recognized only when excited by non-visible light, and the method further comprises the step of exposing the sensor to non-visible light.

Claim 33. A method according to claim 29, wherein the sensor comprises a plurality of sensors [elements] that individually indicate an increasing level of the gaseous substance up to a danger level.

## Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Applicant's arguments, discussed in the interview April 26, 2006, with respect to the DeCastro reference within 103(a) rejections made in the office action November 9, 2005 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 103(a) rejections of claims 1-3, 6, 7, 9-18, 20-36 have been withdrawn. The DeCastro reference was relied upon for teaching a transition metal complex that underwent a ligand exchange when exposed to hydrogen sulfide. However, after further consideration, the interpretation of DeCastro was re-evaluated. DeCastro Claims 1, 4, 9, 12-14, and 19-20, support the new position of the office; DeCastro teaches of a two chemical changes, the first in which a ligand reaction exchange occurs in response to the amount of moisture in air, and the second

Art Unit: 1761

which reaction (not a ligand exchange reaction) occurs when sulfur is detected. Thus, DeCastro does not teach of a ligand exchange reaction in order to detect sulfur.

The closest prior art, Arnold et al. (WO 97/33177) discloses of a sensor that is capable of detecting gaseous substances, including sulfur-containing compounds. The sensor comprises a film composition and a metal co-ordinated complex, where the metal comprises metals such as iron or platinum, wherein the metal is complexed with a fluorescent material, and wherein, upon exposure to the gas, the metal co-ordinated complex undergoes a ligand exchange reaction. The reference, however, teaches of the sensor only in reference to medical and drug related applications. The reference does not teach or suggest the sensor for detecting a gaseous substance resulting from food spoilage, opening of a food package, or compromise of the food package. Furthermore, the reference does not teach or suggest placing the sensor into packaging.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Mahafkey whose telephone number is (571) 272-2739. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8am-4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,981

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kelly Mahafkey Examiner Art Unit 1761 Page 9

METH HENDRICKS